UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.usplo.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/573,635	03/28/2006	Yasunori Hattori	2950-060834	9011
	7590 01/09/200 AW FIRM, P.C.	EXAMINER		
700 KOPPERS	BUILDING	SAVAGE, JASON L		
436 SEVENTH AVENUE PITTSBURGH, PA 15219			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
	,		1794	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			01/09/2008	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Summary		10/573,635	HATTORI ET AL.				
		Examiner	Art Unit				
		Jason L. Savage	1794				
	The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply						
	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY	( IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MON'	TH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS				
WHIC - Exter after - If NO - Failu Any	CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATES AND STATES AND THE MAILING DATES AND STATES AND THE MAILING DATES AND THE MAILING T	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICAT 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply l vill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS cause the application to become ABAND	TION.  De timely filed  from the mailing date of this communication.  ONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status							
1)	Responsive to communication(s) filed on	·					
2a) <u></u> □	This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b)⊠ This action is non-final.						
3)	☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is						
	closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Dispositi	on of Claims						
4)🛛	Claim(s) <u>1-4</u> is/are pending in the application.						
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5)	5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
•	⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-4</u> is/are rejected.						
	Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8)	Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	r election requirement.					
Applicat	ion Papers						
9)	The specification is objected to by the Examine	ır.					
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) □ accepted or b) □ objected to by the Examiner.							
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).							
11)	The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	caminer. Note the attached Of	ffice Action or form P1O-152.				
Priority (	under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12)🛛	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign	priority under 35 U.S.C. § 11	9(a)-(d) or (f).				
a)	⊠ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:						
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.							
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No							
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage							
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.							
~ `	See the attached detailed Office action for a list	or the certified copies flot rec	eivea.				
Attachmer	nt(s)						
	ce of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Sum Paper No(s)/M	mary (PTO-413) ail Date				
3) Infor	ce of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) er No(s)/Mail Date		mal Patent Application				

## Claim Objections

Claim 4 is objected to under 37 CFR 1.75(c) as being in improper form because a multiple dependent claim cannot depend from another multiple dependent claim. See MPEP § 608.01(n). Accordingly, the claim 4 has not been further treated on the merits since it depends from multiple dependent claim 3.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

Claim 3 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Claim 3 recites that the aluminum sheet contains Fe at a ratio (emphasis added) of not more than 1.0%. The use of the term ratio is indefinite since Applicant has not defined what else is used to determine the ratio. For the purposes of Examination, the claim limitation has been treated as meaning the Fe content is not more than 1.0% by mass.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (a) the invention was known or used by others in this country, or patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country, before the invention thereof by the applicant for a patent.
- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 1-3 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(a) as being anticipated by Hattori et al (JP 2005-319481 English Machine Translation).

Hattori teaches a steel/aluminum welded structure comprising an aluminum sheet 2 spot welded to a hot-dip coated aluminum-coated steel sheet 1 wherein the AI coating consists of 3-12% Si and 0.5-5% Fe and an AI-Fe-Si ternary alloy layer formed at an interface between the steel and coating layer (abstract). Regarding the limitation that an area ratio of the joint boundary being 90% or less of AI-Fe, since Hattori teaches the same materials and aluminum coating composition containing Si and Fe, the claimed AI-Fe area ratio would fall within the claimed range of 90% or less. Hattori further teaches that the aluminum sheet 2 may contain 1.5-6.0% Mg and 3.0 or less of Si (par[0023]).

Regarding claim 2, Hattori teaches the steel substrate contain 0.002-0.020% N which forms an N-enriched surface having an N content of 3% or more (par[0021]).

Regarding claim 3, the Fe content in the aluminum sheet is preferably 1% or less (par[0022]).

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

Application/Control Number:

10/573,635

Art Unit: 1794

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 1-3 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Maki'268 (US 2001/0016268) in view of Iwase et al. (JP 2003-145278 English Machine Translation).

Maki'268 teaches hot-dipped aluminum coated steel sheet which has excellent properties after welding (abs). Maki'268 further teaches that the Al coating comprises Si content of 2 to 13% (abs). Maki'268 also teaches that the bath used to form the Al-Si coating layer contains about 2% Fe (par[0090]. As such, Maki'268 teaches an aluminum coating containing 2 to 13% Si and 2% or less of Fe.

Regarding the limitation that the Al-coated steel sheet is spot welded to an aluminum sheet, Maki'268 does not exemplify an embodiment meeting the claim limitations. However, Iwase teaches spot welding aluminum-plated steel sheets and aluminum sheets are known (abstract). As such, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to have spot welded the hot-dipped aluminum coated steel sheet of Maki'268 which has excellent properties after welding to an aluminum sheet since composites of aluminum and aluminum-coated steel sheets are conventional materials joined to form a composite structures by welding.

Regarding the limitation that an area ratio of the joint boundary being 90% or less of Al-Fe, since Maki'268 teaches the same materials and aluminum coating composition containing Si and Fe, the claimed Al-Fe area ratio would fall within the claimed range of 90% or less.

10/573,635 Art Unit: 1794

Regarding claim 2, Maki'268 teaches the steel substrate may comprise up to 0.010% N (par[0016]). Although Maki'268 does not explicitly recite that the steel substrate has an N-enriched surface having a concentration of 3% or more of N, it is known to form an N-enriched surface to act as a diffusion restrictor. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to have formed an N-enriched surface in the steel substrate to act as a diffusion restrictor. Such an enriched surface having diffusion restricting properties would meet the limitation of having an N concentration within the claimed range.

Regarding claim 3, Iwase teaches that the Aluminum sheet is JIS5056 Aluminum alloy plate (par[0033]) which contains a maximum of 0.5% Fe and Mg of 4.5-5.6% and Si of 0.3% or less.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Jason L. Savage whose telephone number is 571-272-1542. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 6:30-4:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Keith Hendricks can be reached on 571-272-1401. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Jason Savage

1-6-08

KEITH D. HENDRICKS
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER